

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/026,887	12/27/2001	Todd Lagimonier	003636.0114	1873	
7590 03/16/2010 MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC			EXAM	EXAMINER	
ATTENTION: WILLIAM H. BOLLMAN 2000 M WTREET, N.W. SUITH: 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20016			HARRELL, ROBERT B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2442		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/16/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/026,887 LAGIMONIER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Robert B. Harrell 2442 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 27 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

Art Unit: 2442

- 1. Claims 1, 2, and 4-7 remain presented for examination.
- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The claims are now more directed to:

SYSTEM FOR FORWARDING A CLIENT'S APPLICATION PROGRAM REQUEST FROM A FIRST SERVER TO A SECOND SERVER PERMITTING DIRECT DOWNLOADING OF SUCH APPLICATION PROGRAM FROM THE SECOND SERVER TO THE CLIENT

- 3. The applicant should always use this period for response to thoroughly and very closely proof read and review the whole of the application for correct correlation between reference numerals in the textual portion of the Specification and Drawings along with any minor spelling errors, general typographical errors, accuracy, assurance of proper use for Trademarks TM, and other legal symbols ®, where required, and clarity of meaning in the Specification, Drawings, and specifically the claims (i.e., provide proper antecedent basis for "the" and "said" within each claim) with each claim ending in a period. Minor typographical errors could render a Patent unenforceable and so the applicant is strongly encouraged to aid in this endeavor.
- 4. The applicant's 02 February 2010 remarks directed to the rejections presented in examiner's 02 December 2009 FINAL rejections have been fully considered but are deemed moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for the purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language:
- 6. Claims 1, 2, and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Kurowski et al. (United States Patent Application Publication Number: US 2002/0019844A1).
- 7. Prior to addressing the grounds of the rejections below, should this application ever be the subject of public review by third parties not so versed with the technology (i.e., access to IFW through Public PAIR (as found on http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair)), this Office

action will usually refer an applicant's attention to relevant and helpful elements, figures, and/or text upon which the Office action relies to support the position taken. Thus, the following citations are neither all-inclusive nor all-exclusive in nature as the whole of the reference is cited and relied upon in this action as part of the substantial evidence of record. Also, no temporal order was claimed for the acts and/or functions.

- 8. Per claim 1, Kurowski taught a method (e.g., see Title) of service-chaining a client device request (e.g., see figure 7 (220)) for service, comprising receiving a client device request (e.g., see figure 7 (220)) for an application program (e.g., see figure 7 ("module")) at a first physical server (e.g., see figure 3 (Task Server Machine)), determining with a service-chaining module of said first physical server an identity of a second physical server (e.g., see figure 7 (224)) within a distributed environment (e.g., see figure 3) that stores said application program (e.g., "module") associated with said client device request for said application program and transmitting a message object (i.e., via the client) from said first physical server to said second physical server to enable said second physical server to transmit said application program to said client device in response to said client device request transmitted to said first physical server (e.g., see figure 7 and paragraph [0156]).
- 9. Per claim 2, claim 4, and claim 5, and claim 6 see figure 7 and paragraph [0156] in that the profile used was the fact it was a "File Sever" among the several servers which included the "App Server", which was not of the same profile, where the computational module ID and its corresponding URL was an itinerary from a server nearest the client to repeat the execution of new tasks (modules) upon completion of the prior module(s).
- 10. Per claim 7, this claim does not teach or defined above the correspondingly rejected claims given above, and is thus rejected for the same reasons given above.
- 11. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the data of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02, 710.020b).
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Harrell whose telephone number is (571) 272-3895. The examiner can normally be reached Monday th
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Salch Najjar, can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for all papers is (571) 273-8300.
- 14. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Art Unit: 2442

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Robert B. Harrell/ ROBERT B. HARRELL PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 2442